Using LLMs to Customize the UI of Webpages
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ABSTRACT
LLMs have capabilities to understand natural language and code, which makes them a great candidate for user-driven customization of webpages. A process that focuses on natural language can be useful for those who are less technologically literate. In this paper, we explore the potential of using LLMs to modify webpages, and what kinds of opportunities and challenges that come with it. We observe that specific prompts referring to color or targeted components can succeed, vague requests and any complex website tend to perform poorly.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The growing popularity of large language models (LLMs) allows numerous applications to take advantage of its natural language understanding and generation, especially since users can automate tasks or discover niche knowledge in an efficient manner. Its utility in correcting grammar and debugging makes it evident that we should explore combining both use cases into one task. Previous systems still require some technical expertise and can only apply changes in a localized area [10]. Prior studies in accessibility assess that less technologically literate benefit from more general systems [18]. It would be beneficial for LLMs to not only interpret the natural language command, but also find all related components of a webpage and apply the changes directly, without the need of additional adjustments.

In this paper, we explored the use of off-the-shelf LLMs to modify entire webpages based on natural language directives. Based on prior work, we identified a set of features that users might invoke through natural language for customization. We conducted an early exploration of prompts that could automatically make changes to web user interfaces (UIs) based on these prompts. From our experiments, we provide observations that can inform the design of future systems that support natural language adaptation of UIs.

2 RELATED WORK
Not all webpages are designed well, and there may be times users would want to customize the interface to fit their own needs. Previously, in order to edit the UI of the webpage, people would need to tinker with browser settings, or go into Developer Tools and methodically understand the source code, but these approaches require a higher level of technical literacy. There are some commercial tools that can help build websites with simple UI and AI-assisted technology, but they focus on the creation, rather than the modification, of websites [3]. Other tools, like CrowdUI and CrowdAdapt, focus on structural component layout, and not aesthetics of individual components. [14, 15]. We want to further this development and actualize improvement to specific components on a webpage.

The recent rise of LLMs motivates the exploration of its effectiveness in this area of editing webpage UI. There are some initial tools developed that manage the natural language aspect, such as editing word documents, emails, and the like [1, 5]. This does not utilize LLMs to its full potential, which also includes summarizing and manipulating code [6, 9]. Models are trained on different programming languages, including HTML and Javascript, such that it could be used for specific web scraping tasks [7, 8, 11]. Advancements in prompt engineering for code-related tasks invite opportunities to transfer current few-shot learning and prompting techniques to programming [12, 13, 17]. Thus, it makes sense to combine both natural language and code to help users customize user interfaces.

Some prior work have started using LLMs to modify UIs. A mobile version that explores how LLMs can interpret a mobile UI feeds an HTML hierarchy into the model, implying that webpage source code could be promising to dissect [16]. Stylette allows users to edit webpages through LLMs, but only allows users to modify through selecting one UI component and tinkering with other drop-downs and selections, which is inconvenient if they want to apply the same edit to other similar fields [10]. While this can be helpful for certain customization, we aim to simplify the whole process through solely natural language. This way, the user can make specific or general requests and the result would show up automatically.

3 METHODOLOGY
We used LLMs to interpret the natural language commands and webpage source code together and output modified code. It ran on some sample websites with prompts to analyze how specific or vague a request can be, and what kind of visual anomalies it could result in.
Because of the nature of the models we used, we had to break up the source code, feed it individually into the model along with the user prompt, and piece the resulting chunks back together. Multiple passes through the LLM decreased the performance quality. However, the model we used, Legacy (text-davinci-003), has a token limit of 4,097, while a webpage can be tens of thousands, if not hundreds of thousands, tokens long [4], so this process was necessary.

There were 3 main models, or endpoints, that we tested: Text Completion (Legacy (text-davinci-003)), Code Completion (Legacy (code-davinci-002)), and Code Edit (Legacy (code-davinci-edit-001)). Information garnered from the given descriptions, plus our own observations, has guided our preference towards using one of the models over the others. We envisioned a system that is easy to surface and direct, as shown in Figure 1. Unlike prior work, after submitting the prompt, there would be no other manipulations that the user would need to do. Ideally, all modifications are already taken care of by the model.

4 RESULTS
4.1 Opportunities
We observed that the model performed best with simple requests related to color and size. It is reasonable that users personalize a webpage with poor text contrast by requesting "make the text purple". It can successfully manipulate websites to change all of their written text to the color purple, with an occasional missed component that was bulleted or in the footer.

It is also possible for changes to target a specific area of a webpage - for example, the header. Changing a specific component on a website is not possible with current browsers, unless the user goes into the source code. With the ease of natural language, we see customizing certain components is still effective. For instance, we can ask "make the heading larger" and it’ll only increase the size of the tabs in the navigation bar.

Another use case is for accessibility reasons. In the case where someone is red-green colorblind, it would be helpful to transform the website to fit their needs. To simulate something similar, we tried applying a grayscale filter, a setting also available on iOS, to see how a website would respond. Sending a simple prompt "activate grayscale" would change not only the text and hyperlinks to grayscale, but also the images on the website too. This is promising for future endeavors to explore this topic for accessibility-specific uses.

4.2 Challenges
First, we explored ways to send a combined user prompt and piece of HTML to edit. Three main models that seemed to fit our use case, as described in the previous section. The Text Completion is a GPT-3.5 model that is stated as capable of doing any language task, but is mostly suited for inserting text. However, we see that it is capable of handling code as well. The Code Completion model uses few-shot learning examples and given code to complete the rest of the program. However, because it can only refer to provided code, it will end up making up variable names or make assumptions about what exists, especially if it needs to manipulate components not included in the chunk. For instance, if asked to change the font size of the headers, it might give something like the following:

```javascript
var heading = document.getElementsByTagName('h1')[0];
heading.style.fontSize = '2em';
```

The endpoint is better suited for prompts that can be handled by JavaScript script changes and writing complete functions. Finally, the Code Edit endpoint modifies text or code that already exists, and is best suited for "refactoring, adding documentation, translating between programming languages, and changing coding style" [2]. It was quickly outdated (as of Spring 2023), so we referred to a newer model instead.

We also observe that the model may also output some nonsensical components if it does not know what to do. To mitigate it, we made sure to preprocess script tags so that it doesn’t get modified. However, with complex components, the model may create a visually-similar component but without its original functionality. For instance, on the UIST 2023 website, we observe that the original dropdown menu titled “More” has transformed into a similar component named “Dropdown Menu,” but without the original functionality.

It also doesn’t work well with vague requests. For example, prompts like “make it easier to read” or “make it more modern” on the UIST website results in little to no visual changes. However, we can also see the opposite effect on a more complicated website like that of a course home page. Nonsensical components are created, the layout is cluttered, and the original, desired effect was not achieved. We could mitigate this by inserting a process that takes vague directives and converts them into actionable commands that the model can better interpret. For instance, “make it easier to read” converts to “increase the font size of all elements”, which the current LLM can transfer to certain CSS styles.

5 FUTURE WORK
Using LLMs for customizing web interfaces has great potential. We see that it can reasonably handle CSS-styled edits and even prompts targeting specific components on simple websites. However, pre- and post-processing is critical to enhance its capabilities, especially for complex websites with many layers in its HTML hierarchy.

Further work can focus on how to best interpret vague input prompts and map them to formulations that are actionable commands. We also note that as the quality of the LLMs improve, we can expect that the success rate of vague requests would increase. Hopefully, a refined system can bring customization to the forefront for users navigating difficult webpages, with the ease of using natural language.
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